



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/687,830 10/20/2003		Louis B. Rosenberg	IMMR084/04US	2880		
22903	22903 7590 12/29/2004			EXAMINER		
COOLEY G	ODWARD LLP	WONG, ALB	WONG, ALBERT KANG			
	OOM DRIVE, SUITE 170	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	OM SQUARÉ- RESTON	2635	<u> </u>			
RESTON, V.	A 20190-5061			_		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		At	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10	)/687,830	ROSENBERG, LO	ROSENBERG, LOUIS B.			
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
			pert KWong	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common for reply specified above is less than thirty (it for reply is specified above, the maximum standard period for reply believed by the Office later than three months that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. 30) days, a reply with tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may a reply be n the statutory minimum of thirty (30) diply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frose the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered time  m the mailing date of this of  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) file	ed on 20 Octob	per 2003.					
· ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
*	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) O 5) ☐ Clain 6) ☑ Clain 7) ☐ Clain	4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Pa	apers							
10)⊠ The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the lawing(s) filed on 20 October 20 cant may not request that any objected to the declaration is objected to the law objected to the law of the	2003 is/are: a)[ oction to the draw of the correction is	ring(s) be held in abeyance. S s required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)	(		<b>∆</b> □	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of Dr. 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or /Mail Date 11/16/2004.		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/687,830

Art Unit: 2635

1. This Office action is in response to the application filed October 20, 2003. Claims 1-30 are pending.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over some of the claims presented in U.S. Patent No. 5,825,308 and 6,232,891. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following discussion.

Regarding claim 1, this claims is essentially a broader version of claim 1 in Patent 5,825,308. Anticipation has been held to epitomize obviousness.

Regarding claims 2-3 these claims recite the same limitation as claims 2-3 of Patent 5,825,308.

Regarding claim 4, this claims is essentially the same as claim 17 of Patent 5,825,308 without the use in an application program.

Regarding claims 5-6, see claims 19-20 of Patent 5,825,308.

Regarding claim 7, this claims is virtually identical to claim 51 of Patent 5,825,308 with minor changes in terminology.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,830

Art Unit: 2635

Regarding claims 8-11, these claims roughly correspond with claims 52-55. The differences, if any, are considered obvious.

Regarding claim 12, this claims is similar to claims 1 in Patent 6,232,891. The sensing step is considered obvious since the opposing force must be in response to a sensed movement.

Regarding claims 13-21, these claims correspond to claims 2-10 of Patent 6,232,891.

The differences are considered obvious in view of the specification.

Regarding claim 22, this claim corresponds to claim 23 of Patent 6,232,891. Any differences are considered to make this claim broader in scope.

Regarding claims 23-25, these claims correspond to claims 24-26 of Patent 6,232,891.

The uses of terms pitch vs frequency are considered synonymous.

Regarding claim 26, this claim corresponds to claim 28 of Patent 6,232,891. The term video parameter is considered obvious when compared with the term visual parameter.

Regarding claim 27-30, these claims correspond with claims 29-32 of Patent 6,232,891.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Albert K Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 703-305-4704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,830

Art Unit: 2635

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Albert K. Wong

December 21, 2004